UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,345	11/21/2003	Thomas Fuehrer	10191/3480 3298	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 09/14/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	MUI, GARY		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2464	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,345	FUEHRER, THOMAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GARY MUI	2464		

	GARY MUI	2464	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 September 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE o.	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strength in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tened statutory period for reply originates.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further conditions they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a conditional claims.	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 3 and 10 would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 3 and 10. Claim(s) objected to:	allowable if submitted in a separate will not be entered, or b) will	e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2464			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the applicants remarks, in particular that the Bender reference fails to disclose "dynamically changing, during transmission of messages, the transmission rate for at least one transmission time slot", the examiner respectfully disagrees. The Bender reference teaches that "The data rate and power level of the reverse and forward links may be maintained in accordance with the channel condition between the base station and the mobile station. The reverse link channel condition may not be the same as the forward link channel condition. The data rate and power level of the reverse link and forward link may be different. One ordinary skilled in the art may recognize that the amount of data communicated in a period of time varies in accordance with the communication data rate. A receiver may receive more data at high data rate than low data rate during the same period of time" (see column 3 line 63 - column 4 line 8). The transmission of the data for that particular period of time that the amount of data would vary such that for high data rate the more data would be sent for that time period and for a low data rate the les data would be sent for that time period and the change in the data rate is maintained in accordance with the channel conditions. Therefore, the claim remains rejected in view of Bender..